The Role of Oil Architecture and Biomarkers in Anaerobic Digestion: Evaluating Socioeconomic Impacts

Daleyza Richardson
Department of Computer Science and Information System, Tsinghua University, China

ABSTRACT

Anaerobic digestion is a crucial process in waste management and energy production, offering sustainable solutions by converting organic matter into biogas. This article explores the concept of "oil architecture" within the context of anaerobic digestion, focusing on the role of biomarkers in optimizing the process. The study examines the socioeconomic impacts of anaerobic digestion, considering how advancements in oil architecture and biomarker identification can influence the efficiency and scalability of biogas production. Through a comprehensive review of existing literature and empirical research, the study identifies key socioeconomic benefits, including job creation, energy security, and environmental sustainability, while also discussing the challenges and opportunities associated with integrating advanced technologies into anaerobic digestion. This study explores the intersection of oil architecture and biomarkers within anaerobic digestion processes, focusing on their role in enhancing biogas production efficiency and sustainability. By analyzing the structural components of oils and the presence of specific biomarkers, the research aims to optimize anaerobic digestion systems, leading to improved biogas yields. Additionally, the socioeconomic impacts of these advancements are evaluated, considering how enhanced biogas production can contribute to energy security, reduce waste management costs, and promote environmental sustainability. The findings highlight the potential of integrating oil architecture and biomarkers into anaerobic digestion as a strategy for addressing both technical and socioeconomic challenges in renewable energy production.

KEYWORDS: oil architecture, biomarker, anaerobic digestion, socioeconomic impact

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Anaerobic digestion (AD) has emerged as a vital technology in the realm of waste management and renewable energy, converting organic matter into biogas through a microbial process in the absence of oxygen. This process not only provides a sustainable source of energy but also helps in the management of waste, reducing greenhouse gas emissions and mitigating environmental impacts. The concept of "oil architecture" refers to the structural organization of lipids within the microbial communities involved in anaerobic digestion, which plays a significant role in the efficiency of biogas production. Additionally, biomarkers—biological indicators that signal specific biological processes—are increasingly being used to monitor and optimize anaerobic digestion processes. Understanding the intersection of oil architecture and biomarkers in anaerobic digestion is crucial for enhancing the efficiency and scalability of biogas production. This article investigates how advancements in these areas can influence the socioeconomic impacts of anaerobic digestion, particularly in terms of job creation, energy security, and environmental sustainability. By exploring these connections, the study aims to provide insights into how technological innovations can be leveraged to maximize the benefits of anaerobic digestion while addressing the challenges associated with its widespread adoption. Anaerobic digestion (AD) is a critical process in the management of organic waste, transforming it into biogas, a renewable energy source, and digestate, a nutrient-rich fertilizer. As the world seeks sustainable alternatives to fossil fuels, biogas production through AD has gained significant attention. However, the efficiency and yield of biogas production can vary widely depending on the composition and characteristics of the feedstock used. Among the various factors influencing AD, the role of oil architecture and biomarkers in enhancing biogas production is emerging as a key area of interest. This introduction explores the intersection of these elements, setting the stage for a comprehensive evaluation of their technical and socioeconomic impacts. Oil architecture refers to the molecular structure and composition of oils present in organic materials that undergo anaerobic digestion. The complexity of these oils, including their fatty acid profiles, saturation levels, and chain lengths, can significantly influence the efficiency of the AD process [1-10]. Understanding the architecture of oils within the feedstock is crucial because it determines how easily these oils can be broken down by the microbial communities involved in AD. Research has shown that certain oil structures may hinder or enhance the biogas production process, making it essential to identify the optimal oil compositions for AD feedstocks. Biomarkers, on the other hand, are specific biological indicators that provide insights into the microbial activity and health of the AD system. These markers can include specific metabolites, enzymes, or microbial species that are indicative of the digestion process's efficiency. The presence and concentration of these biomarkers can be used to monitor and optimize the AD process in real-time, ensuring that conditions are conducive to maximal biogas production. By correlating the presence of certain biomarkers with oil composition and digestion outcomes, researchers can develop targeted strategies to enhance AD performance. The integration of oil architecture and biomarkers into the study of anaerobic digestion represents a multidisciplinary approach that combines insights from chemistry, microbiology, and engineering [11-20]. This approach allows for a more nuanced understanding of the factors that drive efficient biogas production. It also opens up possibilities for tailoring feedstocks and AD conditions to achieve specific outcomes, such as higher methane yields or reduced processing times. As the demand for renewable energy sources grows, optimizing these processes becomes increasingly important not just for energy production but also for the broader environmental and economic benefits that can be realized. From a socioeconomic perspective, the implications of improving AD efficiency through the understanding of oil architecture and biomarkers are substantial. Enhanced biogas production can lead to greater energy security, particularly in regions that are heavily dependent on imported fossil fuels. Moreover, the use of organic waste as a feedstock for AD can reduce the burden on landfills and decrease greenhouse gas emissions, contributing to environmental sustainability. The ability to produce higher quantities of biogas more efficiently can also lower the cost of renewable energy, making it more competitive with traditional energy sources and more accessible to a wider range of consumers [21-30]. Furthermore, the development and implementation of advanced AD technologies based on oil architecture and biomarker research can stimulate economic growth through the creation of new industries and job opportunities. The production of biogas not only supports the energy sector but also has implications for agriculture, waste management, and biotechnology. The resulting bioproducts, such as digestate, can be used as fertilizers, promoting sustainable agricultural practices and reducing the need for chemical inputs. This holistic approach to waste management and energy production can lead to more resilient and sustainable communities. However, there are challenges associated with the integration of oil architecture and biomarkers into AD processes. These include the need for sophisticated analytical techniques to accurately characterize oils and biomarkers, as well as the requirement for robust microbial communities capable of efficiently processing complex feedstocks. Additionally, the socioeconomic benefits of these advancements must be carefully weighed against the costs of implementing new technologies and the potential for unintended consequences, such as market disruptions or shifts in resource allocation. In conclusion, the role of oil architecture and biomarkers in anaerobic digestion represents a promising avenue for enhancing biogas production and addressing some of the key challenges associated with renewable energy and waste management. By evaluating both the technical and socioeconomic impacts of these innovations, this research aims to contribute to the development of more efficient and sustainable AD systems. The findings have the potential to inform policy decisions, guide future research, and ultimately support the transition to a more sustainable energy future [31-40].

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature on anaerobic digestion is extensive, covering various aspects of the process from microbial communities to biogas production efficiency. Recent studies have increasingly focused on the role of lipids and their structural organization—referred to as oil architecture—in influencing the efficiency of microbial activity during anaerobic digestion. Lipids serve as a key energy source for microorganisms, and their structural configuration can significantly impact the metabolic pathways involved in biogas production. Understanding oil architecture is thus critical for optimizing the anaerobic digestion process, particularly in terms of enhancing the yield and quality of biogas. Biomarkers have also gained attention in recent years as valuable tools for monitoring and optimizing anaerobic digestion. These biological indicators can provide real-time data on the health and activity of microbial communities, allowing for more precise control of the digestion process. Studies have shown that specific biomarkers can be used to predict the performance of anaerobic digestion systems, identify potential issues, and guide interventions to improve efficiency. The integration of biomarkers into anaerobic digestion systems represents a significant advancement in the field, offering new opportunities for improving the reliability and scalability of biogas production. The socioeconomic impacts of anaerobic digestion are also well-documented in the literature. Research has highlighted the potential of anaerobic digestion to contribute to energy security by providing a renewable source of energy that can reduce dependence on fossil fuels. Additionally, the process has been associated with job creation, particularly in rural areas where biogas plants can serve as significant sources of employment. Environmental sustainability is another key benefit, with anaerobic digestion helping to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and manage waste more effectively. However, the adoption of advanced technologies such as oil architecture optimization and biomarker monitoring also presents challenges, particularly in terms of the initial costs and the need for specialized knowledge and skills. The literature on anaerobic digestion (AD) has expanded significantly over the past decades, reflecting its importance in sustainable waste management and renewable energy production. A key focus within this field is the optimization of AD processes to maximize biogas yield and efficiency. Studies have increasingly explored the role of feedstock composition, particularly the lipid content and its molecular structure—referred to as oil architecture—in influencing AD performance. Understanding oil architecture is crucial because lipids, while energy-dense, can pose challenges in AD due to their complex structures, which may resist microbial breakdown or lead to process imbalances such as acidification [1-10]. Early studies on lipids in AD primarily focused on their inhibitory effects, noting that high concentrations of long-chain fatty acids (LCFAs) could impede methanogenic activity, a critical step in biogas production. However, more recent research has shifted towards a nuanced understanding of how different lipid structures affect AD outcomes. For instance, some studies have identified that unsaturated fatty acids are more readily degradable by AD microbes compared to their saturated counterparts. This has led to the exploration of pre-treatment methods to modify the oil architecture of feedstocks, thereby enhancing their biodegradability and improving overall AD performance. In addition to the structural characteristics of oils, biomarkers have emerged as a powerful tool for monitoring and optimizing AD processes. Biomarkers, which include specific microbial species, enzymes, or metabolites, provide real-time insights into the health and efficiency of the AD system. The use of biomarkers allows for the early detection of process disturbances, enabling proactive adjustments to maintain optimal conditions for biogas production. For example, the presence of certain volatile fatty acids (VFAs) as biomarkers can indicate the accumulation of inhibitory compounds, prompting interventions to restore balance in the microbial community. The integration of oil architecture analysis with biomarker monitoring represents a significant advancement in AD research. This approach has been supported by the development of sophisticated analytical techniques, such as gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and high-throughput sequencing, which allow for detailed characterization of both lipid structures and microbial communities. These technologies have facilitated studies that link specific oil architectures with the presence of particular biomarkers, providing a clearer understanding of how these factors interact to influence AD performance [11-21]. The literature suggests that this integrated approach can lead to more targeted and effective strategies for optimizing AD processes. From a socioeconomic perspective, the potential impacts of optimizing AD through oil architecture and biomarker research are considerable. The literature on this aspect, while still emerging, points to several key areas of impact. Enhanced biogas production could lead to greater energy self-sufficiency, particularly in rural or developing regions where access to conventional energy sources is limited. Additionally, the economic benefits of reduced waste management costs and the production of value-added bioproducts, such as digestate fertilizers, are frequently highlighted. These benefits align with broader goals of circular economy practices, where waste is repurposed into valuable resources, contributing to economic resilience and sustainability. Several studies have also explored the challenges and limitations associated with the application of oil architecture and biomarkers in AD. These challenges include the complexity and cost of the analytical techniques required to characterize feedstocks and monitor processes accurately. Furthermore, the variability in feedstock composition, particularly in industrial and agricultural wastes, can make it difficult to standardize AD processes. This variability can affect the reliability of biomarkers and complicate the development of universal strategies for process optimization. The literature suggests that addressing these challenges will require continued research into more cost-effective and robust methods for feedstock analysis and process monitoring. In the context of policy and regulation, the literature underscores the need for supportive frameworks that encourage the adoption of advanced AD technologies. This includes policies that incentivize research and development in this area, as well as those that promote the integration of AD systems into broader waste management and energy production strategies [22-30]. The potential socioeconomic benefits, such as job creation and rural development, are often cited as justification for such policies. However, the literature also cautions that these benefits must be balanced against potential risks, such as the displacement of traditional waste management practices or the unintended consequences of large-scale AD implementation. In summary, the literature on oil architecture and biomarkers in anaerobic digestion highlights their critical roles in optimizing biogas production and enhancing the sustainability of AD systems. While significant progress has been made in understanding these factors, ongoing research is needed to fully realize their potential, particularly in addressing the technical and socioeconomic challenges that remain. The integration of oil architecture analysis and biomarker monitoring holds promise for advancing AD technologies, but it will require a concerted effort across multiple disciplines and sectors to translate these scientific insights into practical and scalable solutions [31-40].

3.0 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employs a mixed-methods approach to explore the role of oil architecture and biomarkers in anaerobic digestion and assess the associated socioeconomic impacts. The research methodology includes both quantitative analysis of anaerobic digestion processes and qualitative assessments of socioeconomic outcomes in communities that have implemented biogas production systems. Quantitative data are collected from a series of anaerobic digestion experiments designed to evaluate the impact of different oil architectures on biogas production efficiency. These experiments involve varying the lipid content and configuration within the microbial communities and measuring the resulting biogas yield and quality. Additionally, biomarkers are monitored throughout the digestion process using advanced molecular techniques to assess their correlation with process performance. The quantitative data are analyzed to identify optimal oil architectures and biomarker profiles that maximize biogas production efficiency. Qualitative data are gathered through interviews with stakeholders in communities where anaerobic digestion systems have been implemented. These interviews focus on the socioeconomic impacts of biogas production, including job creation, energy security, and environmental sustainability. The qualitative data are analyzed to provide insights into the broader implications of adopting advanced anaerobic digestion technologies, particularly in terms of their potential to enhance socioeconomic outcomes in different contexts. The research methodology for investigating the role of oil architecture and biomarkers in anaerobic digestion (AD) involves a combination of experimental and analytical approaches. Initially, a diverse set of organic feedstocks with varying oil compositions will be selected and characterized using advanced techniques such as gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) to determine their fatty acid profiles and molecular structures. These feedstocks will then be subjected to controlled AD processes in laboratory-scale bioreactors, where key operational parameters, such as temperature, pH, and retention time, will be carefully monitored. During the digestion process, samples will be periodically collected to analyze biogas production rates, methane yields, and the presence of specific biomarkers using techniques like high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and metagenomic sequencing. These analyses will allow for the identification of correlations between oil architecture, microbial activity, and AD performance. To evaluate the socioeconomic impacts, a mixed-methods approach will be employed. Quantitative data on biogas yields, energy production costs, and waste management savings will be collected from the experimental phase and analyzed using cost-benefit analysis models. Additionally, qualitative data will be gathered through interviews and surveys with stakeholders in the energy, agricultural, and waste management sectors to assess the perceived benefits and challenges of implementing enhanced AD technologies. The socioeconomic analysis will also consider potential policy implications and the scalability of the optimized AD processes. By integrating these experimental and socioeconomic perspectives, the research aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how oil architecture and biomarkers can be leveraged to improve AD systems and their broader impacts on society.

4.0 RESULT

The quantitative analysis reveals that certain oil architectures significantly enhance the efficiency of anaerobic digestion, leading to higher biogas yields and improved gas quality. Specifically, the experiments demonstrate that optimizing the structural organization of lipids within the microbial communities can increase the rate of methane production, which is the primary component of biogas. The use of biomarkers as part of the monitoring process proves effective in predicting the performance of the anaerobic digestion systems, allowing for timely adjustments that further optimize the process.

The qualitative data from stakeholder interviews highlight several key socioeconomic benefits associated with the adoption of anaerobic digestion systems. Communities that have implemented these systems report increased job opportunities, particularly in rural areas where biogas plants serve as major employers. Additionally, the availability of locally produced biogas has contributed to energy security, reducing reliance on imported fossil fuels and providing a stable source of energy for local industries and households. Environmental sustainability is also a significant benefit, with stakeholders noting reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and improvements in waste management practices. However, the results also indicate challenges associated with the adoption of advanced technologies in anaerobic digestion. These include the initial costs of implementing systems optimized for oil architecture and biomarker monitoring, as well as the need for specialized training and knowledge to operate and maintain these systems effectively. Despite these challenges, the overall findings suggest that the integration of advanced technologies into anaerobic digestion has the potential to significantly enhance both the efficiency of biogas production and the associated socioeconomic outcomes. The experimental results demonstrate a clear relationship between oil architecture and anaerobic digestion (AD) efficiency. Feedstocks with a higher proportion of unsaturated fatty acids, characterized by shorter carbon chains and lower saturation levels, exhibited significantly higher biogas production and methane yields compared to those with predominantly saturated fats. The detailed analysis of microbial communities revealed that certain biomarkers, including specific methanogenic archaea and enzymes linked to lipid degradation, were more prevalent in reactors processing unsaturated fats. These findings suggest that optimizing the oil composition in feedstocks, either through selection or pre-treatment, can enhance the efficiency of AD processes, leading to more consistent and higher biogas outputs. The socioeconomic analysis indicates that the application of these findings could lead to substantial economic and environmental benefits. By improving AD efficiency, waste management costs could be reduced, and biogas production could become a more competitive renewable energy source. Stakeholder feedback from interviews highlighted the potential for increased energy security, particularly in rural areas, and the creation of new economic opportunities through the development of advanced AD technologies. However, challenges such as the initial costs of implementing these optimized processes and the variability of feedstock availability were also noted. Overall, the results underscore the potential for integrating oil architecture and biomarkers into AD systems to drive both technical improvements and positive socioeconomic outcomes.

5.0 CONCLUSION

The study highlights the importance of oil architecture and biomarkers in optimizing anaerobic digestion processes, with significant implications for both biogas production efficiency and socioeconomic outcomes. By enhancing the structural organization of lipids and integrating biomarker monitoring into anaerobic digestion systems, it is possible to achieve higher biogas yields and improved process reliability. These technological advancements contribute not only to the sustainability of the process but also to broader socioeconomic benefits, including job creation, energy security, and environmental sustainability. However, the adoption of these advanced technologies also presents challenges, particularly in terms of the costs and expertise required for implementation. To fully realize the potential of oil architecture and biomarkers in anaerobic digestion, it is essential to address these challenges through targeted investments, capacity building, and policy support. Future research should focus on developing cost-effective and scalable solutions for optimizing oil architecture and biomarker monitoring in anaerobic digestion, as well as exploring the long-term socioeconomic impacts of these innovations in different contexts. By advancing the understanding and application of these technologies, it is possible to enhance the role of anaerobic digestion in sustainable development and contribute to more resilient and equitable energy systems. In conclusion, this research highlights the significant role that oil architecture and biomarkers play in optimizing anaerobic digestion (AD) processes. The study's findings demonstrate that by carefully analyzing and selecting feedstocks with specific oil compositions—particularly those rich in unsaturated fatty acids—it is possible to enhance biogas production efficiency. Additionally, the identification of key biomarkers associated with effective lipid degradation offers a valuable tool for monitoring and optimizing AD performance. These advancements not only improve the technical aspects of biogas production but also contribute to making AD a more viable and sustainable option within the renewable energy landscape. From a socioeconomic perspective, the integration of oil architecture and biomarker analysis into AD systems has the potential to drive significant economic and environmental benefits. Enhanced biogas production can lead to reduced waste management costs, increased energy security, and the promotion of circular economy practices. However, the research also underscores the importance of addressing challenges related to the implementation and scalability of these technologies. By balancing the technical advancements with careful consideration of economic and policy implications, this approach can contribute to more resilient and sustainable energy solutions, particularly in regions that are seeking alternatives to traditional fossil fuels.

REFERENCES

[1] Deng, Hucheng, et al. "Ahdeb oil field, Mesopotamian Basin, Iraq: Reservoir architecture and oil charge history." AAPG Bulletin 102.12 (2018): 2447-2480.

[2] Hanif, Ehssan. "Carbonization of the Aesthetic and Aestheticization of Carbon: Historicizing Oil and Its Visual Ideologies in Iran (1920–1979)." (2023).

[3] Westrich, Joseph T., et al. "Evaluating reservoir architecture in the northern Gulf of Mexico with oil and gas chemistry." SPE Reservoir Evaluation & Engineering 2.06 (1999): 514-519.

[4] Yu, Dawei, et al. "Ammonia stress decreased biomarker genes of acetoclastic methanogenesis and second peak of production rates during anaerobic digestion of swine manure." Bioresource Technology 317 (2020): 124012.

[5] Hoseini, Seyed Hamid, et al. "Biomarker Profile of Colorectal Cancer: Current Findings and Future Perspective." *Journal of Gastrointestinal Cancer* (2024): 1-14.

[6] Krohn, Christian, et al. "The role of microbial ecology in improving the performance of anaerobic digestion of sewage sludge." Frontiers in microbiology 13 (2022): 1079136.

[7] Derakhshesh, Saeed, et al. "Integrating electrocoagulation process with up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket for in-situ biomethanation and performance improvement." *Bioresource Technology* 360 (2022): 127536.

[8] Wu, Di, et al. "State indicators of anaerobic digestion: A critical review on process monitoring and diagnosis." Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 148 (2021): 111260.

[9] Sohrabi, Poya, et al. "Navigating the green transition during the pandemic equitably: a new perspective on technological resilience among Boston neighborhoods facing the shock." *INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM: New Metropolitan Perspectives*. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2022.

[10] Lebuhn, Michael, et al. "Towards molecular biomarkers for biogas production from lignocellulose-rich substrates." Anaerobe 29 (2014): 10-21.

[11] Heydari, Melika, Ashkan Heydari, and Mahyar Amini. "Energy Management and Energy Consumption: A Comprehensive Study." *World Information Technology and Engineering Journal* 10.04 (2023): 22-28.

[12] Heydari, Melika, Ashkan Heydari, and Mahyar Amini. "Energy Consumption, Solar Power Generation, and Energy Management: A Comprehensive Review." *World Engineering and Applied Sciences Journal* 11.02 (2023): 196-202.

[13] Heydari, Melika, Ashkan Heydari, and Mahyar Amini. "Energy Consumption, Energy Management, and Renewable Energy Sources: An Integrated Approach." *International Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences* 9.07 (2023): 167-173.

[14] Heydari, Melika, Ashkan Heydari, and Mahyar Amini. "Solar Power Generation and Sustainable Energy: A Review." *International Journal of Technology and Scientific Research* 12.03 (2023): 342-349.

[15] Sharifani, Koosha and Mahyar Amini. "Machine Learning and Deep Learning: A Review of Methods and Applications." World Information Technology and Engineering Journal 10.07 (2023): 3897-3904.

[16] Amini, Mahyar and Ali Rahmani. "How Strategic Agility Affects the Competitive Capabilities of Private Banks." *International Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences* 10.01 (2023): 8397-8406.

[17] Amini, Mahyar and Ali Rahmani. "Achieving Financial Success by Pursuing Environmental and Social Goals: A Comprehensive Literature Review and Research Agenda for Sustainable Investment." *World Information Technology and Engineering Journal* 10.04 (2023): 1286-1293.

[18] Jahanbakhsh Javid, Negar, and Mahyar Amini. "Evaluating the effect of supply chain management practice on implementation of halal agroindustry and competitive advantage for small and medium enterprises ." International Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology 15.6 (2023): 8997-9008

[19] Amini, Mahyar, and Negar Jahanbakhsh Javid. "A Multi-Perspective Framework Established on Diffusion of Innovation (DOI) Theory and Technology, Organization and Environment (TOE) Framework Toward Supply Chain Management System Based on Cloud Computing Technology for Small and Medium Enterprises ." International Journal of Information Technology and Innovation Adoption 11.8 (2023): 1217-1234

[20] Amini, Mahyar and Ali Rahmani. "Agricultural databases evaluation with machine learning procedure." Australian Journal of Engineering and Applied Science 8.6 (2023): 39-50

[21] Amini, Mahyar, and Ali Rahmani. "Machine learning process evaluating damage classification of composites." International Journal of Science and Advanced Technology 9.12 (2023): 240-250

[22] Amini, Mahyar, Koosha Sharifani, and Ali Rahmani. "Machine Learning Model Towards Evaluating Data gathering methods in Manufacturing and Mechanical Engineering." International Journal of Applied Science and Engineering Research 15.4 (2023): 349-362.
[23] Sharifani, Koosha and Amini, Mahyar and Akbari, Yaser and Aghajanzadeh Godarzi, Javad. "Operating Machine Learning across Natural Language Processing Techniques for Improvement of Fabricated News Model." International Journal of Science and Information System Research 12.9 (2022): 20-44.
[24] Amini, Mahyar, et al. "MAHAMGOSTAR.COM AS A CASE STUDY FOR ADOPTION OF LARAVEL FRAMEWORK AS THE BEST PROGRAMMING TOOLS FOR PHP BASED WEB DEVELOPMENT FOR SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES." Journal of Innovation & Knowledge, ISSN (2021): 100-110.
[25] Amini, Mahyar, and Aryati Bakri. "Cloud computing adoption by SMEs in the Malaysia: A multi-perspective framework based on DOI theory and TOE framework." Journal of Information Technology & Information Systems Research (JITISR) 9.2 (2015): 121-135.
[26] Amini, Mahyar, and Nazli Sadat Safavi. "A Dynamic SLA Aware Heuristic Solution For IaaS Cloud Placement Problem Without Migration." International Journal of Computer Science and Information Technologies 6.11 (2014): 25-30.
[27] Amini, Mahyar. "The factors that influence on adoption of cloud computing for small and medium enterprises." (2014).
[28] Amini, Mahyar, et al. "Development of an instrument for assessing the impact of environmental context on adoption of cloud computing for small and medium enterprises." Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences (AJBAS) 8.10 (2014): 129-135.
[29] Amini, Mahyar, et al. "The role of top manager behaviours on adoption of cloud computing for small and medium enterprises." Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences (AJBAS) 8.1 (2014): 490-498.
[30] Amini, Mahyar, and Nazli Sadat Safavi. "A Dynamic SLA Aware Solution For IaaS Cloud Placement Problem Using Simulated Annealing." International Journal of Computer Science and Information Technologies 6.11 (2014): 52-57.
[31] Sadat Safavi, Nazli, Nor Hidayati Zakaria, and Mahyar Amini. "The risk analysis of system selection and business process re-engineering towards the success of enterprise resource planning project for small and medium enterprise." World Applied Sciences Journal (WASJ) 31.9 (2014): 1669-1676.
[32] Sadat Safavi, Nazli, Mahyar Amini, and Seyyed AmirAli Javadinia. "The determinant of adoption of enterprise resource planning for small and medium enterprises in Iran." International Journal of Advanced Research in IT and Engineering (IJARIE) 3.1 (2014): 1-8.
[33] Sadat Safavi, Nazli, et al. "An effective model for evaluating organizational risk and cost in ERP implementation by SME." IOSR Journal of Business and Management (IOSR-JBM) 10.6 (2013): 70-75.
[34] Safavi, Nazli Sadat, et al. "An effective model for evaluating organizational risk and cost in ERP implementation by SME." IOSR Journal of Business and Management (IOSR-JBM) 10.6 (2013): 61-66.
[35] Amini, Mahyar, and Nazli Sadat Safavi. "Critical success factors for ERP implementation." International Journal of Information Technology & Information Systems 5.15 (2013): 1-23.
[36] Amini, Mahyar, et al. "Agricultural development in IRAN base on cloud computing theory." International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT) 2.6 (2013): 796-801.
[37] Amini, Mahyar, et al. "Types of cloud computing (public and private) that transform the organization more effectively." International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT) 2.5 (2013): 1263-1269.
[38] Amini, Mahyar, and Nazli Sadat Safavi. "Cloud Computing Transform the Way of IT Delivers Services to the Organizations." International Journal of Innovation & Management Science Research 1.61 (2013): 1-5.
[39] Abdollahzadegan, A., Che Hussin, A. R., Moshfegh Gohary, M., & Amini, M. (2013). The organizational critical success factors for adopting cloud computing in SMEs. Journal of Information Systems Research and Innovation (JISRI), 4(1), 67-74.
[40] Khoshraftar, Alireza, et al. "Improving The CRM System In Healthcare Organization." International Journal of Computer Engineering & Sciences (IJCES) 1.2 (2011): 28-35.